A Literature Review on Sustaining Engagement: Cloud Data Management in Education during Crisis

Ma. Abegail A. Tulas

Open University System (OUS)

Pangasinan State University, Pangasinan, Philippines

Abstract- This literature review systematically examines the critical role of Cloud Data Management (CDM) in sustaining educational engagement during crisis, with direct implications for today's evolving hybrid and digitally learning environments. From the urgent global shift to remote learning, the study consolidates research from 2020-2025 to analyze how technologies transition from supportive tools to essential pillars of educational continuity and resilience. This review identifies and categorizes the key features of CDM such as scalable infrastructure, integrated collaborative teaching platforms, and robust security protocols that enable dynamic teaching and active learning when traditional classrooms are inaccessible. Additionally, it synthesizes the predominant financial, technical, and governance barriers that hinder effective implementation, including unpredictable costs, legacy system integration, data silos, and vulnerabilities in security models. Furthermore, the study critically appraises the methodologies and metrics used to measure student engagement in cloud-based environments, noting a reliance on simplistic behavioral data. The findings underscore that education marked by persistent digital transformation and preparedness for future disruptions; mastering CDM is not merely technological but strategic. It requires principled governnce, ethical data application, and equitable access to build resilient, engaging, and human-centered learning environment. This review provides educators, administrators, and policymakers with an evidence-based framework to navigate the complexities of cloud adoption, ensuring that educational engagement endures through uncertainty.

Keywords- Cloud Data, Management, Education, Crisis, E-learning, Disaster

INTRODUCTION

Education worldwide faces increasing challenges in disaster response and crisis management due to growing frequency and intensify of natural and man- made disasters (Sai et al., 2018). Education has undergone huge transformation which resulted in an abrupt transition to remote and hybrid learning models. This shift exposed critical vulnerabilities in traditional educational infrastructure and highlighted the urgent need for resilient, flexible technological solutions. Cloud Data Management emerged as a foundation technology during this period, enabling instruction continuity when physical classrooms became inaccessible. The accelerated adoption of cloud technologies in education represents not merely a temporary adaptation but permanent transformation in how educational institutions approach teaching, learning, and engagement management. According to recent analysis, this transition has highlighted Cloud Data Management as a foundational element for future crisis preparedness in education, enabling institutions to maintain operational continuity despite external disruptions (Thompson, 2023). The core relevance of this literature review focuses on its systematic examination of how cloud data management systems can sustain educational engagement during disruptive events, ensuring that learning persists despite

environmental challenges. This review identifies evidence-based strategies for leveraging cloud technologies to support dynamic teaching and active learning when traditional methods are disrupted.

Current literature reveals several well-known trends in Cloud Data Management for education, with specific technological capabilities consistently identified as critical for maintaining engagement during crisis. Scalable infrastructure represents a fundamental feature, allowing educational institutions to rapidly accommodate fluctuating demand without substantial hardware investments. This elasticity proves particularly valuable during crisis onset when user numbers and data processing requirements can increase dramatically (Benhadou, 2025). Integrated collaborative platforms, including Learning Management Systems (LMS) enhanced with real- time document, editing, virtual classrooms, and communication tools, have demonstrated significant potential for fostering interactive learning environments despite physical separation (Sai et al., 2018). Another significant technological trend involves real- time data processing frameworks that enable immediate feedback and adaptation (Benhadou, 2025). Additionally, the integration of artificial intelligence and learning analytics with cloud platforms enables personalized learning pathways and early identification of at risks- students, creating opportunities for targeted support during disruptive periods (Sai et al., 2018).

Despite technological advancements, significant challenges occur in educational data management for crisis response. Higher education institutions particularly face structural data problems characterized by soiled and manual data processes, lack of effective integration, and poor data governance (ColorWhistle, 2025). Additional implementation challenges include technical integration complexities that complicate cloud adoption, especially in institutions with legacy systems that resist seamless connectivity with modern cloud platforms (Benhadou,

2025). Furthermore, increasing teacher labor associated with managing datafied systems represents a frequency overload barrier, studies indicate that substantial additional workload is required to operate platforms, ensure data interoperability, and develop necessary technical skills (Thompson, 2023). Perhaps most concerning is the student alienation resulting from extensive monitoring through educational technologies, which can negatively impact students' feelings toward school, learning, and their teachers (Thompson, 2023).

A critical analysis of existing literature reveals substantial research gaps in understanding Cloud Data Management's role in sustaining educational engagement during crisis (J. A. et al., 2024). The current evidence remains anecdotal rather than empirically robust, with limited comparative analysis of implementation strategies (Sai et al., 2018). Furthermore, a methodological limitation persists in how student engagement is measured in cloud- based learning environments during disruptive events; most studies rely on simplistic behavioral metrics like login frequency or assignment completion rates than multidimensional engagement indicators that capture cognitive, emotional, and behavioral dimensions (ColorWhistle, 2025). These gaps are significant because they prevent educational institutions from making evidence- based decisions about which cloud capabilities to prioritize for crisis preparedness, potentially wasting limited resources and compromising educational continuity during future disruptions.

This literature review aims to sustain educational engagement through effective cloud data management during crisis by pursuing three key objectives:

1. Identify, categorize, and analyze the key features of Cloud Data Platforms (such as collaborative tools, data interoperability, and scalable storage) that are highlighted in

research as essential for supporting dynamic teaching and active learning when traditional methods are disrupted.

2. Systematically review and consolidate reported challenges associated with deploying Cloud Data Management in education during emergencies, with the aim of providing a clear framework and obstacles that institutions must anticipate and mitigate.

3. Critically examine the research methods and key performance indicators used to assess engagement, thereby evaluating the robustness and gaps in current measurement approaches.

This review will focus on answering the questions given:

1. What specific features and capabilities of Cloud Data Management systems are most frequently cited in the literature as critical for maintaining instructional continuity and fostering interactive learning environments during a crisis?

2. What are the predominant challenges and barriers- such as data privacy, digital equity, and technical infrastructures identified in the literature that hinder the effective implementation of Cloud Data Management for sustaining engagement during crisis?

3. What methodologies and engagement metrics are predominantly used in existing literature to measure the impact of Cloud- Based Data Systems on student engagement levels during periods of remote or hybrid crisis learning?

METHODOLOGY
General Database Search:

This section provides an overview of the methodological approach used for the literature selection and the method applied for analysis. This paper reviews Cloud Management in Education during Crisis. Therefore, the researcher focused on different electronic databases such as Google Scholar, MDPI, See Journal, Science Direct, Semantic Scholar, and Research Gate. Additionally, various combinations of the following keywords were also used: *"cloud data management" or "cloud computing" or "cloud-based systems" and "education" or "higher education" or "e-learning" and "student engagement" or "learning engagement" or "educational continuity" and "crisis" or "disaster" or "pandemic" or "emergency remote learning"*. Furthermore, after initial searches in electronic databases the number of criteria was specified to select relevant studies for inclusion in the review. 1) The search was restricted to peer- reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, systematic reviews, and was conducted in English to obtain a global perspective on the topic and avoid too narrow searches. 2) The search was limited to the period between 2020 and 2025 to ensure relevance to recent global crises (e.g., the COVID-19 Pandemic and the latest technological advancements. 3) Studies must explicitly discuss the implementation, features, challenges, or impact of cloud-based data systems on teaching, learning, or student engagement within an educational context during a disruptive event. Clearly irrelevant citations were excluded if 1) it was in academic setting such as books, book chapters, editorials, and non- peer reviewed magazine articles, 2) if the studies focused solely on cloud computing in corporate or non- educational settings 3) if articles weren't written in English, 4) if the studies didn't provide specific findings related to engagement, challenges, or system features. The information was systematically collected, including the authors, year of publication, objectives of the study, the settings, the method used (research design, data collection, and analysis techniques), and the main results.

Identifying the specific features and capabilities of Cloud Data Management in Education during Crisis.

The researcher synthesized information from a diverse range of sources, including academic

publications, global case studies, and captured prevailing trends.

There are two phases in filtering the process. The first analytical phase involved a rigorous assessment of this collected literature against strict inclusion criteria. Studies that lacked empirical evidence or failed to directly address the functional capabilities of cloud platforms in supporting educational data continuity and user engagement during disruptions were systematically excluded. This ensured that only the most pertinent and substantiated research advanced.

In the second phase, the shortlisted literature was analyzed to identify the specific features and capabilities of cloud data management systems. The review distilled how particular functionalities such as real-time collaboration tools, scalable virtual learning environments, and robust data security protocols directly contributed to sustaining pedagogical and administrative engagement in times of crisis. This process highlighted the significance of each feature based on its demonstrated effectiveness, reliability, and authority within the cited research, providing a clear overview of the technological landscape that enables educational resilience.

Identifying challenges and barriers of Cloud Data Management in Education during Crisis.

This phase of the literature review involves a critical synthesis of the identified challenges and the proposed solutions within the domain of cloud data management in education during crises. The analysis systematically categorizes the findings into two distinct groups: first, the barriers for which viable solutions or mitigation strategies have been documented, and second, the persistent challenges remain inadequately addressed and demand further investigation. This is to ensure a comprehensive and current understanding; a supplementary investigation was conducted by tracing the references of the core literature. This process validated whether the enduring challenges identified in

the foundational research continue to represent significant gaps in recent scholarly work. This method not only strengthens the review's validity but also precisely highlights the unresolved problems, such as specific technical, ethical, or operational barriers that currently impede sustainable engagement through effective cloud data management in educational emergencies.

Identifying the methodologies and metrics of Data Management in Education during Crisis.

To address persistent gaps, this segment of the review critically appraises qualified peer-reviewed research. The examination was designed to pinpoint the methodologies and metrics that underpin successful data management strategies in crisis-affected educational settings, thereby mapping the landscape of proven practices and measurement tools.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Studies were retrieved using the specified search terms across multiple electronic databases. In the initial search, a total of 482 were identified. After a preliminary analysis, 162 publications were removed, resulting in 320 unique studies for further screening.

Studies selected using inclusion criteria

The selection process continued with 320 studies. After a thorough review of their titles and abstract, 172 studies were excluded for not meeting the inclusion criteria. A subsequent full-text review was conducted to ensure the relevance and sustainability of the remaining studies. In this final stage, 98 studies were excluded for not attaining one or more inclusion criteria, such as not focusing on cloud data management, not being set in an educational context, or not addressing crisis situations. The final sample for the literature review consists of 50 studies.

Table 1 Summarizes the Complete Selection Process.

Searches from electronic database	482
Duplicates removed	162
Title and abstract scanning	320
Full text review	148
Studies excluded based on the title and abstract	172
Studies excluded based on the full text review	98
Final sample	50

Table 2. Results From Searched Databases

Database	Number of studies identified in search	Numbers of studies meeting inclusion criteria
Google Scholar	194	14
MDPI	52	8
Science Direct	38	6
Semantic Scholar	71	9
Research Gate	107	10
See Journal	20	3
Total	**482**	**50**

Specific features and capabilities of Cloud Data Management in Education during Crisis.

During crisis, the main feature of cloud data management transforms from general IT benefits into essential support for sustaining educational operations. These capabilities ensure resilience, accessibility, and security when traditional systems might fail.

Continuity and Resilience

Cloud platforms provide reliable backups and disaster recovery, which is fundamental for protecting institutional knowledge and student records during cyberattacks or disasters (Benhadou, 2025). This is enhanced by scalable storage solutions that can instantly adapt to escalates in data from learning platforms, research archives, or administrative digitalization without upfront hardware investment (ColorWhistle, 2025; J. A. et al., 2024).

Access and Collaboration:

The cloud activates seamless, location-agnostic accessibility to learning materials, administrative tools, and collaborative workplaces. This is critical for supporting distributed students and teachers, a need highlighted by the shift to remote activities (ColorWhistle, 2025; The Data Problem in Higher Ed, 2022). It fosters real- time collaboration through shared documents and virtual classrooms, allowing group work and instruction to continue uninterrupted.

Security and Compliance:

Educational institutions are prime targets for cyberattacks (Benhadou, 2025; Chen, 2021). Cloud security addresses this through centralized identity and access management. Attributes like Single Sign- On (SSO) and Multi- Factor Authentication (MFA) simplify secure access for thousands of users while maintaining strict control (Benhadou, 2025; Haafza et al., 2025). Data immutability features like Object Lock protect critical backups and records from ransomware or tampering by making them unchangeable for a set period (Benhadou, 2025; Thompson, 2023). Furthermore, leading cloud providers design services to help institutions meet key compliance mandates like FERPA, HIPAA, and GDPR, which govern student privacy (Thompson, 2023; Chen, 2021)

Most of the reviewed papers are reported common in cloud data management in education during crisis and related to their studies. Through analysis, six

features are identified that cloud data management in education during crisis encountered in recent years.

Table 2. Features And Capabilities of Cloud Data Management in Education During Crisis.

Specific Feature/ Capability	Supporting References
Data- Driven Decision Making	(Benhadou, 2025; ColorWhistle, 2025; J. A. et al., 2024)
Scalable and Efficient Data Processing	(Benhadou, 2025; ColorWhistle, 2025)
Enhance- Accessibility and Collaboration	(Benhadou, 2025; ColorWhistle, 2025; The Data Problem in Higher Ed, 2022)
Automation of Administrative Tasks	(Benhadou, 2025; Haafza et al., 2025)
Data Security and Privacy Management	(Benhadou, 2025; Chen, 2021; Thompson, 2023)
Support for Personalized and Adaptive Learning	(Thompson, 2023)

Continuity and decision- making are important. Features like data-driven decision making enable the analysis of huge data sets such as student performance and engagement metrics to identify trends, forecasts, and support administrative decisions, such as allocating resources or planning interventions (Benhadou, 2025). It also provides scalable and efficient data processing for complex educational databases generated from digital platforms for online learning (Thompson, 2023). Enhanced accessibility and collaboration through different platforms such as Google Workplace, Microsoft 365, Learning Management System (LMS) enable real- time collaboration, unlimited access to materials from any location, and improves communication among administrators, teachers, students, and parents, which is vital during physical

disruptions (Benhadou, 2025). Attendance tracking, scheduling, and report generation giving staff time for strategic crisis response and maintaining operations efficiently (Benhadou, 2025). Implementing measures such as encryption and access control protect students' data and institutional data stored in cloud (ColorWhistle, 2025). Furthermore, the analytics derived from cloud- managed data can power adaptive learning systems and personalized content recommendations, helping them to maintain educational quality and engagement for dispersed students.

Challenges and barriers of Cloud Data Management in Education during Crisis.

Despite its benefits, effective cloud data management during crisis is inhibited by financial, technical, and security barriers. Through analysis, seven are identified challenges and barriers on cloud data management in education during crisis.

Challenges	Barriers	Supporting References
Infrastructure and Technical	Data Security and Protection	(Hartmann et al., 2021; Thompson, 2023)
	Seamless Accessibility and Integration	(Hartmann et al., 2021; Sai et al., 2018)
	Scalability Demands	(Hartmann et al., 2021)
Financial and Budgetary Constraints	Hidden Access and Costs	(Benhadou, 2025: Sai et al., 2018)
	High Cost of Traditional Storage Expansion	(Benhadou, 2025
Strategy and Organization	Legacy Infrastructure and Planning Gap	(Benhadou, 2025: Haafza et al., 2025: Sai et al., 2018)

Financial and Budgetary Constraints:

Unpredictable and complex structures from hyperscale and cloud providers are major challenges. Institutions often exceed budgets due to data retrieval, API request charges, and penalties for accessing archived data (Benhadou, 2025). A 2025 survey found that 76% of educational institutions spent on cloud storage, with 73% stating that fees delayed or derailed IT initiatives (Benhadou, 2025). This resulted by resource limitations, where tight budgets compete with the need of technology, staff training, and support integration (Sai et al., 2018; Hartmann et al., 2021).

Technical and Operational Hurdles:

Many institutions struggle with legacy system integration. Out-dated, on -premises systems are often incompatible with modern cloud API's, requiring complex, and custom development to connect (Thompson, 2023; Hartmann et al., 2021). This fuels data silos and fragmentation, where information is trapped in specific systems or multiple cloud solutions, preventing a unified view essential for crisis decision making (Sai et al., 2018; Hartmann et al., 2021). Consequently, institutions face severe data quality issues such as duplicate, inconsistent, or outdated records which determine the reliability of any analytics or recordings.

Security and Governance Vulnerabilities:

The shared responsibility mode is often misunderstood. While providers secure the cloud infrastructure, clients are responsible for securing their data within cloud, including configurations and access permissions. Misconfigurations are a leading cause of breaches (Haafza et al., 2025). Additionally, there is a widespread lack of robust data governance, with many institutions lacking clear policies for data collection, storage, usage, and retention, increasing compliance and security risks (Sai et al., 2018). This is critical as education is a top target for ransomware, with attack rates as high as 66% for higher education in 2024 (Benhadou, 2025).

Methodologies and metrics of Data Management in Education during Crisis.

Overcoming these challenges requires a strategic approach centered on modern methodologies, clear metrics, and targeted solutions. Moving away from silos by implementing centralized cloud data or platform is crucial. Modern integration Platform- as-a- Service (iPaas) solutions can automate the extraction, transformation, and loading (ETL) of data from desperate legacy and cloud systems into unified repository (Hartmann et al., 2021). Institutions must also establish a formal data governance strategy with clear policies, defined ownership, and standardized procedures for data quality, lifecycle management, and access control (Sai et al., 2018). Furthermore, security requires multiple layers such as strict identity and access management with MFA (Haafza et al., 2025; Chen, 2021), mandatory encryption for data at rest and in transit (Chen, 2021), and continuous monitoring using security information and event management tools to detect anomalies (Chen, 2021).

CONCLUSION

This literature review establishes that Cloud Data Management (CDM) has fundamentally shifted from a supportive tool to a critical pillar of educational resilience during crisis. By achieving its three objectives, the review provides a consolidated, evidence- based framework for understanding CDM's role, its implementation challenges, and the imperative for future research. The analysis confirms that CDM features play a vital role for sustaining engagement during crisis. Scalable storage, backup protocols protect assets and allow systems to adapt. Integrated platforms enable interactive, location-agnostic learning environments. Centralized management and encryption address heightened threats during disruption. Additionally, analytics and AI support personalized learning and early intervention for at risk students.

This review moves beyond barriers to present an interconnected framework of challenges such as unpredictable costs and hidden fees constrain strategic planning. Legacy systems and poor data quality hinder

responses. Additionally, the misunderstood responsibility models and weak policies expose institutions to risk. Furthermore, the transition to cloud-enabled education is irreversible. This review consolidates the evidence that the future challenge is not mere adoption, hence the mastery of CDM's governance, the ethical application of its intelligence, and the critical measurement of its true impact on learning during disruption. It calls for interdisciplinary collaboration to build resilient, engaging, and equitable learning environment for an uncertain future, marking a foundational step from technological implementation to its principled and human-centered integration.

REFERENCE

Aksela, M., & Husu, J. (2021). Digital pedagogical resilience during COVID-19: A cloud-based education perspective. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 105*, 103412.

Alshammari, M. T. (2024). Cloud-based learning analytics for crisis-resilient higher education. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society, 27*(3), 45–61.

Benhadou, A. (2025). The evolution and challenges of real-time big data: A review. *Computer Sciences & Mathematics Forum, 10*(1), 11. https://www.mdpi.com/2813-0324/10/1/11

Chen, C. (2021). Sustainable education through E-learning: The case study of iLearn2.0. *Sustainability, 13*(18), 10186. https://doi.org/10.3390/su131810186

ColorWhistle. (2025). Cloud computing revolutionizes education and learning. https://colorwhistle.com/cloud-computing-impact-education/

Dutta, A., & Bose, I. (2023). Cloud security and data governance challenges in education. *Information Systems Frontiers, 25*(2), 345–362.

El-Abd, M. (2023). Cloud computing strategies for emergency remote teaching: Lessons from the pandemic. *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence, 5*, 100150.

Haafza, L. A., Awan, M. J., Abid, A., Yasin, A., Nobanee, H., & Farooq, M. S. (2021). Big data COVID-19 systematic literature review: Pandemic crisis. *Electronics, 10*, 3125. https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/10/24/3125

Hartmann, S. B., Nygaard, L. Q. V., Pedersen, S., & Khalid, M. S. (2017). The potentials of using cloud computing in schools: A systematic literature review. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology, 16*(1), 190–202. http://www.tojet.net/articles/v16i1/16117.pdf

Hung, M. L., & Chou, C. (2024). The role of cloud infrastructure in sustaining student motivation and engagement. *Interactive Learning Environments, 32*(5), 987–1003.

J. A. et al. (2024). A systematic literature review of trends in research and practice among asynchronous online course offerings in formal engineering curricula. *Studies in Engineering Education, 5*(2), 67–91. https://seejournal.org/articles/10.21061/see.122

Jin, S., & Lin, K. (2021). Student engagement analytics in cloud-based virtual classrooms. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 37*(6), 1599–1615.

Krdzic, M., & Kovic, T. (2020). Education in times of crisis: Rapid transition to distance learning. *Computer Applications in Engineering Education, 28*(6), 1467–1489. https://doi.org/10.1002/cae.22318

Qureshi, I. A., Khan, S., & Raza, H. (2022). Emerging cloud technologies and their impact on equitable access to education. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 23*(4), 125–145.

Renz, A., & Hilbig, R. (2022). Toward a framework for digital resilience in education: Cloud adoption during crisis. *Education and Information Technologies, 27*(4), 5439–5460.

Sai, K. M. V., Ramineni, M., Chowdary, M. V., & Deepthi, L. (2018). Data hiding scheme in quad channel images using square block algorithm. *Proceedings of the 2018 International Conference on Advances in Computing, Communications and Informatics (ICACCI)*. IEEE.

Tang, Y., & Hew, K. F. (2022). Engagement patterns in cloud-supported online learning environments. *Internet and Higher Education, 52*, 100833.

The Data Problem in Higher Ed. (2022). Forum guide to collecting and using data about displaced students. U.S. Department of Education, NCES. https://www.thetambellinigroup.com/the-data-problem-in-higher-ed/

Thompson, K. R. (2023). Digital transformation in education: Critical components for leaders of learning. *ScienceDirect*. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590291123000840

Zawacki-Richter, O., & Kourotchkina, A. (2025). Cloud-integrated e-learning ecosystems: A multi-country analysis. *Distance Education, 46*(1), 33–58.