SOUTHEAST ASIAN JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Volume 10, Issue 1, 2025
P-ISSN: 2672-2984
E-ISSN: 2672-2992
www.sajst.org

A Literature Review on the Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Academic Data Systems

Juliet R. Ramos
Open University System (OUS)
Pangasinan State University, Pangasinan, Philippines

Article Info :
*Received**: 20 June 2025**; Revised: **27 Aug 2025**; Accepted: **22 Oct 2025**; Available Online: **15 Dec 2025***

***Abstract**- The increasing complexity of educational data has pushed academic institutions to explore advanced technologies that can enhance data management, analysis, and decision-making processes. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a promising solution for improving the efficiency, accuracy, and responsiveness of academic data systems. This literature review examines recent research on the integration of AI in academic data systems, with particular focus on current applications, reported challenges, and proposed strategies for responsible adoption. Using a systematic review approach, peer-reviewed studies published between 2019 and 2025 were collected from major academic databases, including IEEE Xplore, Springer, ScienceDirect, ACM Digital Library, MDPI, ResearchGate, and Google Scholar. A total of 38 empirical studies were selected after applying defined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The findings indicate that AI is commonly applied in areas such as predictive analytics, learning analytics, automated reporting, and performance monitoring within academic institutions. However, persistent challenges were identified, including data privacy and security concerns, algorithmic bias, limited technical infrastructure, lack of AI expertise, and the absence of clear institutional governance frameworks. While the literature proposes solutions such as ethical AI frameworks, governance models, and capacity-building initiatives, many challenges remain unresolved due to organizational, financial, and socio-technical factors. The review concludes that successful AI integration in academic data systems requires a balanced, system-wide approach that aligns technological innovation with ethical responsibility, institutional readiness, and long-term sustainability*

***Keywords-** Artificial Intelligence, Academic Data Systems, Learning Analytics, Educational Data Management, AI Governance, Ethical AI in Education*

INTRODUCTION

The increasing reliance on data in education has reshaped how institutions manage information and support teaching and learning. Academic data systems now play a central role in organizing student records, assessment results, and institutional performance indicators. As educational institutions generate increasingly large and complex datasets, traditional data management approaches are becoming less effective. In response, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a promising technology for enhancing the collection, processing, and interpretation of academic data.

Recent developments in AI for education demonstrate its application in predictive analytics, learning analytics, automated reporting, and institutional performance monitoring. Human-centered learning analytics emphasize trust, transparency, and stakeholder involvement, while machine learning models enable early identification of academic risks and student performance trends. These developments suggest that AI has the potential to improve institutional responsiveness and data-driven decision-making.

SOUTHEAST ASIAN JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Volume 10, Issue 1, 2025
P-ISSN: 2672-2984
E-ISSN: 2672-2992
www.sajst.org

Despite these opportunities, AI integration raises significant concerns. Issues related to data privacy, ethical use of student information, algorithmic bias, and transparency remain prominent. In addition, many educational institutions face structural barriers such as limited technical infrastructure, lack of AI expertise, financial constraints, and the absence of clear governance frameworks. Without comprehensive policies and institutional readiness, AI adoption risks being fragmented or misaligned with educational values.

While existing research on AI in education continues to grow, much of the literature focuses on individual tools or isolated applications rather than system-wide integration. Limited attention has been given to institutional governance, ethical implementation, and sustainability of AI-driven academic data systems. This gap highlights the need for a systematic synthesis of recent literature addressing AI integration at an institutional level.

This systematic literature review aims to examine how Artificial Intelligence is integrated into academic data systems by synthesizing current applications, identifying recurring challenges, and analyzing proposed strategies for responsible and effective adoption. The review addresses the following research questions:

1. What are the current applications of Artificial Intelligence in academic data management systems?
2. What challenges, limitations, and ethical considerations are reported in recent literature regarding AI integration?
3. What frameworks, strategies, or recommendations have been proposed to guide responsible and effective AI adoption in educational institutions?

By answering these questions, the review synthesizes recent research, identifies recurring issues, and highlights insights that can support institutions in evaluating and implementing AI-enabled data systems more effectively.

METHODOLOGY

This study employed a systematic literature review approach to identify, analyze, and synthesize recent research on Artificial Intelligence integration in academic data systems. The review process followed a structured, multi-step procedure to ensure transparency and reliability.

2.1 General Database Search

To make sure I covered a broad range of relevant literature, I searched multiple academic databases. These included Google Scholar, Semantic Scholar, Springer, Science Direct, ACM, ResearchGate, and IEEE Explore, which gave me a wide view of AI integration. My research conducted recently, focused on publications from 2019 to 2025, capturing educational contexts before, during, and after the pandemic. A variety of keywords and their combinations were used such as *Artificial Intelligence, AI in education, academic data systems, learning analytics, and educational data management.*

After the initial searches, inclusion criteria were applied to select relevant studies. Selected studies: 1) provided empirical evidence on AI integration in academic data systems, 2) were published in peer-reviewed journals or conference proceedings, 3) included an abstract, and 4) clearly described their research methods. Studies were excluded if: 1) they were book reviews or non-academic reports, 2) they were books or book chapters without empirical data, or 3) they lacked sufficient methodological detail. Information was systematically extracted, including authors, years of publication, study objectives, research setting,

Volume 10, Issue 1, 2025
P-ISSN: 2672-2984
E-ISSN: 2672-2992
www.sajst.org

methodology (research design, data collection, and analysis techniques), and main findings.

2.2 Identifying the Challenges in AI Integration in Academic Data Systems

I have collected data from multiple sources, including research articles, reports, and current developments in educational technology, to identify patterns in AI adoption within academic data systems. Each source was evaluated for relevance, significance, and reliability based on its purpose, authority, and practical evidence.

The remaining studies were analyzed to extract and summarize the reported challenges. Recurring barriers, including technical limitations, data privacy and security concerns, algorithmic bias, insufficient infrastructure, and the lack of standardized frameworks, were organized into an overview. This process provides a clear and systematic understanding of the obstacles educational institutions face when implementing AI-driven data systems.

2.3 Recognizing the Solutions for the Challenges

After identifying the challenges in AI integration, the researcher further analyzed potential solutions reported in the literature for each issue. Several strategies and interventions have been proposed to address these challenges, including technological approaches, policy recommendations, ethical guidelines, and best practices for AI implementation. However, not all challenges were fully resolved by these solutions.

In this part, the identified challenges and their corresponding solutions were organized into two categories: 1) challenges that already have a practical solution, and 2) remaining challenges that still require further resolution. Additional searches through references were also conducted to explore connections between the initially selected studies and their cited sources. This supplementary investigation helped validate which challenges continue to persist and which solutions have been effectively implemented in recent years.

2.4 Identifying the Factors Affecting Remaining Challenges

Finally, the review looked into why some challenges continue to exist even after various solutions have been proposed. Several factors seem to play a role, such as how prepared an institution is for change, the digital skills of its staff, existing policies, funding limitations, and other social or technical conditions. Understanding these factors helps explain why some problems remain unresolved and points to areas where more research may be needed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 General database search

The database research produced a substantial number of studies related to the use of Artificial Intelligence in educational and academic data systems. Table 1 summarizes the initial search results obtained from each database using the selected keywords and non-scholarly materials, such as opinion articles and commentary pieces.

A total of 8,742 records were identified across all databases. These records were screened using the inclusion and exclusion criteria defined in the methodology. Based on abstract reviews, 6,318 records were removed due to limited relevance, duplication, or slack of empirical evidence. The remaining 2,424 articles were examined in full text to assess their methodological quality and relevance to AI integration in academic data systems. After this evaluation, 2,386 articles were excluded. Ultimately, 38 peer-reviewed empirical studies published between 2019 and 2025 were retained for detailed analysis.

SOUTHEAST ASIAN JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Volume 10, Issue 1, 2025
P-ISSN: 2672-2984
E-ISSN: 2672-2992
www.sajst.org

Table 1. Results from searching databases

Database	Total Results	Peer-Reviewed Papers
IEEE Xplore	1,142	7
Springer	936	6
ScienceDirect	1,084	8
ACM Digital Library	312	4
MDPI	589	5
ResearchGate	279	5
Google Scholar	4,400	n/a

3.2. Issues and Challenges in Integrating AI in Academic Data System

The reviewed literature consistently reports that although AI offers significant advantages for managing and analyzing academic data, its integration remains challenging. Several studies point out that AI systems are often introduced with fully considering institutional readiness, leading to fragmented implementation and limited practical impact (Wang et al., 2024; Wang, 2025).

Analysis of the selected studies revealed six recurring challenges related to AI integration in academic data systems, as shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Challenges in AI Integration in Academic Data Systems

Challenges	Supporting Studies
Data Privacy and Security	(Alfredo et al., 2023; Ng et al., 2023; Anghel et al., 2025; Ali, 2025)
Algorithmic Bias	(Phuriwatthanatham, 2024; Shi & Choi, 2024; Slade et al., 2019)
Technical Infrastructure	(Wang et al., 2024; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019; UNESCO, 2019)
Lack of AI Expertise	(Wang, 2025; Shi & Choi, 2024; OECD, 2021)
Absence of Governance Frameworks	(Ng et al., 2023; Ali, 2025; Siemens & Baker, 2019)
Ethical and Transparency Concerns	(Phuriwatthanatham, 2024; Anghel et al., 2025; Knox, 2019)

Among these challenges, data privacy and security are the most frequently discussed. Many authors raise concerns about ethical use of student data and compliance with data protection policies (Alfredo et al., 2023; Ng et al., 2023). Algorithmic bias is also a major concern, as biased training data may lead to unfair academic predictions or decisions (Phuriwatthanatham, 2024; Ng et al., 2023; Shi & Choi, 2024).

In addition, limited infrastructure, lack of skilled personnel, and financial constraints continue to hinder effective AI adoption in many educational institutions (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019; OECD, 2021)

3.3 Identified Solutions for the Issues and Challenges

The literature also presents several strategies aimed at addressing the challenges associated with AI integration. Table 3 summarizes the main solutions identified across the reviewed studies.

SOUTHEAST ASIAN JOURNAL OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

Volume 10, Issue 1, 2025
P-ISSN: 2672-2984
E-ISSN: 2672-2992
www.sajst.org

Table 3. Identified Solutions for AI Integration Challenges

Solutions	Supporting Studies
Ethical AI Frameworks	(Phuriwatthanatham, 2024; Anghel et al., 2025; Siemens & Baker, 2019)
Institutional AI Governance Models	(Ng et al., 2023; Ali, 2025; Long & Siemens, n.d.)
Capacity Building and Professional Training	(Wang, 2025; Shi & Choi, 2024; OECD, 2021)
Secure and Transparent Data Practices	(Alfredo et al., 2023; Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019; UNESCO, 2019)

3.3.1 Ethical AI Frameworks

Many studies emphasize the importance of ethical guidelines to ensure fairness, transparency, and accountability in AI-driven academic systems. Ethical frameworks help institutions minimize bias, protect student data, and build trust among stakeholders (Phuriwatthanatham, 2024; Anghel et al., 2025).

3.3.2 Institutional Governance and Capacity Building

Clear governance structures are frequently recommended to guide AI adoption at the institutional level. These include policies that define data ownership, accountability, and evaluation processes (Ng et al., 2023; Ali, 2025). In addition, several studies highlight the need for staff training and professional development to improve AI literacy among educators and administrators (Wang, 2025; OECD, 2021)

3.4 Factors Affecting the Persistence of Challenges

Despite the proposed solutions, some challenges remain unresolved. One key factor is institutions lack long-term planning, sufficient funding, or leadership support for sustained AI initiatives. Staff digital competence also influences adoption, as limited understanding of AI tools may lead to resistance or misuse.

Policy constraints, funding limitations, and broader socio-technical factors, such as organizational culture and ethical concerns, further contribute to the persistence of these challenges. Understanding these factors provides insight into why AI integration in academic data systems continues to face obstacles and underscores the need for more coordinated and context-sensitive approaches.

CONCLUSION

This literature review examined recent research on the integration of Artificial Intelligence in academic data systems, focusing on applications, challenges, and proposed solutions. The findings indicate that AI is increasingly used to support predictive analytics, learning analytics, automated reporting, and data-driven decision-making in educational institutions.

However, the review also highlights persistent barriers to effective AI adoption, including data privacy concerns, algorithmic bias, limited infrastructure, lack of expertise, and the absence of clear governance and ethical frameworks. While various solutions have been proposed, many challenges remain unresolved due to organizational and socio-technical factors.

Overall, the literature suggests that although AI offers significant benefits for academic data systems, its successful integration requires more than technical solutions alone. A balanced approach that considers ethical responsibility, institutional readiness, and long-

Volume 10, Issue 1, 2025
P-ISSN: 2672-2984
E-ISSN: 2672-2992
www.sajst.org

term sustainability is essential for AI to meaningfully support educational goals.

FUTURE WORK

Based on the findings of this review, several directions for future research are identified. First, further studies are needed to develop and evaluate comprehensive institutional frameworks that support ethical, transparent, and accountable AI use in academic data systems. More attention should be given to mitigating algorithmic bias and ensuring fairness across diverse student populations.

Second, existing literature largely focuses on individual AI tools or applications. Future research should examine AI integration from a system wide perspective, including operational, organizational, and policy related dimensions. Longitudinal and empirical studies that assess the long-term impact of AI adoption in real academic environments would provide valuable insights into effectiveness and sustainability.

Finally, future work should explore capacity building strategies for educators and administrators, as well as the role of leadership and governance in successful AI adoption. Addressing these gaps will help institutions move beyond experimental use of AI toward responsible and sustainable data management practices.

REFERENCES

S. Wang, F. Wang, Z. Zhu, J. Wang, *et al.*, "Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic literature review," *Expert Systems with Applications*, vol. 252, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2024.124167. ResearchGate

R. Alfredo, V. Echeverria, Y. Jin, L. Yan, Z. Swiecki, D. Gašević, and R. Martinez-Maldonado, "Human-Centred Learning Analytics and AI in Education: a Systematic Literature Review," 2023. arXiv

Y. Wang, "Artificial intelligence in student management systems to enhance academic performance monitoring and intervention," *Sci. Rep.*, 2025. PMC

S. Phuriwatthanatham, "The Artificial Intelligence (AI) Innovation in Academic Education Management: A Perspective from Educational Leadership," *JRKSA Journal*, 2024. Thai Journal Online

D. T. K. Ng, J. Su, R. C. W. Ng, S. Kw, and J. S. Leung, "Teachers' AI digital competencies and twenty-first century skills in the post-pandemic world," *Educ. Technol. Res. Dev.*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 137–161, 2023. PMC

L. Shi and I. Choi, "A Systematic Review on Artificial Intelligence in Supporting Teaching Practice: Application Types, Pedagogical Roles, and Technological Characteristics," in *Uses of Artificial Intelligence in STEM Education*, Oxford University Press, 2024. OUP Academic

G. A. Anghel, C. M. Zanfir, F. L. Matei, C. D. Voicu, and R. A. Neacsa, "The Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Academic Learning Practices: A Comprehensive Approach," *Educ. Sci.*, vol. 15, no. 5, 2025. mdpi.com

A. Zawacki-Richter, V. I. Marín, M. Bond, and F. Gouverneur, "Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education," *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, vol. 16, no. 39, 2019.

ZA. Ali, "Artificial intelligence in education: Applications, challenges, and future directions," *ResearchGate 2025*

S. Slade, P. Prinsloo, and K. Khalil, "Learning analytics at the intersections of student trust, disclosure, and benefit," ResearchGate, 2019.

Volume 10, Issue 1, 2025
P-ISSN: 2672-2984
E-ISSN: 2672-2992
www.sajst.org

UNESCO, *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Challenges and Opportunities for Sustainable Development*. Paris, France, 2019.

OECD, *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Opportunities and Challenges*. Paris, France, 2021.

G. Siemens and R. S. Baker, "Learning analytics and educational data mining: Towards communication and collaboration," *Proc. ACM Int. Conf. Learning Analytics and Knowledge*, pp. 252–254, 2019.

J. Knox, "*What Does the 'Postdigital' Mean for Education? Three Critical Perspectives on the Digital, with Implications for Educational Research and Practice*", ResearchGate, 2019.

E. Long and G. Siemens, "Ethical considerations in learning analytics," *e-Learning and Digital Media, 8(3) 224-239.*