



ARTICLE SUBMISSION COMPLIANCE REPORT

GENERAL INFORMATION

Title of Article:

Authors:

Affiliation:

Email and Contact No:

PLAGIARISM TESTING RESULT (19 PERCENT BELOW)

Percentage Similarity Index (Excluding Bibliography):

Remarks: Accepted (20% below) Re-run (20%-45%) Rejected (46% up)

Ethics Editor Signature Over Printed Name and Date:

PEER REVIEWER'S SUGGESTION SUMMARY (2-3 REVIEWERS)

- | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Accept unconditionally. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Accept subject to Minor revisions (Referee rereview is not necessary). |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Accept subject to Minor revisions (The revised manuscript will be subject to re-review). |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Accept subject to Major revisions (The revised manuscript will be subject to re-review). |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Reject. |

JOURNAL FORMAT

- | | | | |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | General IMRAD Format based on Journal Style | <input type="checkbox"/> | Introduction |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Title / Authors and Affiliation | <input type="checkbox"/> | Statement of the Problem / Objectives |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Abstract and Keywords | <input type="checkbox"/> | Literature Review in the Introduction |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Heading and Sub-Heading | <input type="checkbox"/> | Brief and Concise Methodology |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Tables | <input type="checkbox"/> | Result and Discussion |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | 3000 to 5000 words including bibliography | <input type="checkbox"/> | Conclusion and Recommendation |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> | APA format of Referencing |

EDITOR'S DECISION

- | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Accept unconditionally. |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Accept subject to Minor revisions (Referee rereview is not necessary). |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Accept subject to Minor revisions (The revised manuscript will be subject to re-review). |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Accept subject to Major revisions (The revised manuscript will be subject to re-review). |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Reject. |

Editor-In-Chief Signature Over Printed Name and Date:



REVIEWERS EVALUATION (5-STRONGLY AGREE / 1- STRONGLY DISAGREE)

Title of Article:

| No | Statement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | The title reflects the content properly. | | | | | |
| 2 | The purpose, design, and nature of the study are well expressed in the Abstract. | | | | | |
| 3 | Sample, data collection tools, data analysis, and major findings are summarized in the Abstract. | | | | | |
| 4 | The review of the literature is up-to-date, comprehensive and addresses the need for the manuscript. | | | | | |
| 5 | The purpose of the study is clearly stated, the gap in the literature is well-established, and research questions are generated accordingly. | | | | | |
| 6 | The research design is clearly described and appropriate for the purpose of the study. | | | | | |
| 7 | The Sample, their characteristics and their selection methods are described in detail and justified. | | | | | |
| 8 | The context of the study is elaborated to provide an in-depth understanding of the setting. | | | | | |
| 9 | The purpose, content, and usage of data collection tools are explained and justified. | | | | | |
| 10 | Data collection and analysis procedures are clearly explained with reference to the role and competency of the researcher(s). | | | | | |
| 11 | The validity and reliability processes in data collection and analyses are described sufficiently. | | | | | |
| 12 | Findings respond to the purpose of the study and are presented systematically. | | | | | |
| 13 | Findings are supported with sufficient and relevant quotations, examples, tables, and diagrams. | | | | | |
| 14 | Findings are discussed with reference to relevant and recent literature. | | | | | |
| 15 | Theoretical implications and practical significance of the study are discussed. | | | | | |
| 16 | Implications for further implementations, suggestions for further research, and limitations of the current study are provided. | | | | | |

REVIEWERS DECISION

- Accept unconditionally.
- Accept subject to Minor revisions (Referee rereview is not necessary).
- Accept subject to Minor revisions (The revised manuscript will be subject to re-review).
- Accept subject to Major revisions (The revised manuscript will be subject to re-review).
- Reject

Reviewer's Name and Signature:

REVIEWERS GENERAL COMMENT (YOU MAY USE BACK OF THIS PAPER OR OTHER SHEET)